



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE




ربای

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ı	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/017,916		12/11/2001	Gholam-Reza Zadno-Azizi	VGEN.004A	7570	
20995	7590	02/18/2003				
		NS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER			
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR				MILLER, CHERYL L		
IRVINE, C	IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3738		
				DATE MAILED: 02/18/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3.	`	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	—	10/017,916	D17,916 ZADNO-AZIZI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cheryl L. Miller	3738				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>11 December 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)□ Disposit	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	on Papers						
9) 🗌 '	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* 8	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom						
	The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom						
Attachment	:(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 5				



Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-19, drawn to an accommodating intraocular lens, classified in class 623, subclass 6.37.
 - II. Claims 20-21, drawn to a method of implanting a lens, classified in class 623, subclass907.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I. and II. are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of implanting may be used with a different IOL, such as one with elongate or plate-like haptics or having a different power, also the product may be implanted by a different method, such as by attachment with haptics, adhesives, or ingrowth means.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Claims 1-21 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising figures 3-15, 17.1-17.3, 17.4, 17.5, 18, 19, 20, 21, 21.1, 21.2, 21.3, 22, 34.1, 35, 36, 37, 38, 38.1-38.2, 38.3, and 38.4 each figure grouping being drawn towards a different species. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/017,916

Art Unit: 3738

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl L. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 305-2812. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 746-7447 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Cheryl Miller

February 10, 2003

auxante

BRUCE'SNOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER